BD Veritor<sup>™</sup> System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Point of Care

CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY

K112277

OCT 2 8, 2011

## 510(k) SUMMARY

SUBMITTED BY:

BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 11085 North Torrey Pines Road, Suite 210

La Jolla, CA 92037 Phone: 858-795-7890 Fax: 858-795-7885

**CONTACT NAME:** 

Gregory Payne

**DATE PREPARED:** 

October 25, 2011

**DEVICE TRADE NAME:** 

BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B

**DEVICE COMMON NAME:** 

Influenza virus serological reagents

**DEVICE CLASSIFICATION:** 

21 CFR § 866.3330

PREDICATE DEVICES:

Quidel QuickVue Influenza A+B

#### **INTENDED USE:**

The **BD Veritor™** System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a rapid chromatographic immunoassay for the direct and qualitative detection of influenza A and B viral nucleoprotein antigens from nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs of symptomatic patients. The BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a differentiated test, such that influenza A viral antigens can be distinguished from influenza B viral antigens from a single processed sample using a single device. The test is to be used as an aid in the diagnosis of influenza A and B viral infections. A negative test is presumptive and it is recommended that these results be confirmed by viral culture or an FDA-cleared influenza A and B molecular assay. Negative test results do not preclude influenza viral infection and should not be used as the sole basis for treatment or other patient management decisions. The test is not intended to detect influenza C antigens.

Performance characteristics for influenza A and B were established during January through March of 2011 when influenza viruses A/2009 H1N1, A/H3N2, B/Victoria lineage, and B/Yamagata lineage were the predominant influenza viruses in circulation according to the *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* from the CDC entitled "Update: Influenza Activity—United States, 2010-2011 Season, and Composition of the 2011-2012 Influenza Vaccine." Performance characteristics may vary against other emerging influenza viruses.

If infection with a novel influenza virus is suspected based on current clinical and epidemiological screening criteria recommended by public health authorities, specimens should be collected with appropriate infection control precautions for novel virulent influenza viruses and sent to the state or local health department for testing. Virus culture should not be attempted in these cases unless a BSL 3+ facility is available to receive and culture specimens.

### **DEVICE DESCRIPTION:**

BD Diagnostic Systems
Becton, Dickinson and Company

The BD Flu A+B test is a chromatographic assay to qualitatively detect influenza A and B viral antigens in samples processed from respiratory specimens. The processed specimen is added to the test device where influenza A or influenza B viral antigens bind to anti-influenza antibodies conjugated to detector particles on the A+B test strip. The antigen-conjugate complex migrates across the test strip to the reaction area and is captured by an antibody line on the membrane. Results are interpreted by the BD Veritor™ System Reader, a portable electronic device which uses a reflectance-based measurement method to evaluate the line signal intensities on the assay test strip, and applies specific algorithms to determine the presence or absence of any target analyte(s). A liquid crystal display (LCD) on the instrument communicates the results to the operator.

# **DEVICE COMPARISON:**

The BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B was compared to the Quidel QuickVue Influenza A+B test (K053146 and K092698).

Product Feature	BD Veritor™ System for Flu A+B (k112277)	Quidel QuickVue Influenza A+B (k053146)
Intended Use	The BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a rapid chromatographic immunoassay for the direct and qualitative detection of influenza A and B viral nucleoprotein antigens from nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs of symptomatic patients. The BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a differentiated test, such that influenza A viral antigens can be distinguished from influenza B viral antigens from a single processed sample using a single device. The test is to be used as an aid in the diagnosis of influenza A and B viral infections. A negative test is presumptive and it is recommended that these results be confirmed by viral culture or an FDA-cleared influenza A and B molecular assay. Negative test results do not preclude influenza viral infection and should not be used as the sole basis for treatment or other patient management decisions. The test is not intended to detect influenza C antigens.  Performance characteristics for influenza A and	The QuickVue Influenza A+B test allows for the rapid, qualitative detection of influenza type A and type B antigens directly from nasal swab, nasopharyngeal swab, nasal aspirate, and nasal wash specimens. The test is intended for use as an aid in the rapid differential diagnosis of acute influenza type A and type B viral infections. The test is not intended to detect influenza C antigens.  Negative results should be confirmed by cell culture; they do not preclude influenza virus infection and should not be used as the sole basis for treatment or other management decisions. The test is intended for professional and laboratory use.
	B were established during January through March of 2011 when influenza viruses A/2009 H1N1, A/H3N2, B/Victoria lineage, and B/Yamagata lineage were the predominant influenza viruses in circulation according to the Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report from the CDC entitled "Update: Influenza Activity—United States, 2010-2011 Season, and Composition of the 2011-2012 Influenza Vaccine." Performance characteristics may vary against other emerging influenza viruses.	
	If infection with a novel influenza virus is suspected based on current clinical and epidemiological screening criteria recommended by public health authorities, specimens should be collected with appropriate infection control precautions for novel virulent influenza viruses and sent to the state or local health department for testing. Virus culture should not be attempted in these cases unless a BSL 3+ facility is available to receive and culture specimens.	
Specimen Types	Nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs	Nasal swab, nasopharyngeal swab, nasal wash/aspirate

Assay Technology	Immunochromatographic .	Immunochromatographic
Detection Format	An opto-electronic reader determines the line intensity at each of the spatially-defined test and control line positions, interprets the results using the scoring algorithm, and reports a positive, negative, or invalid result on the LCD screen based on pre-set thresholds.	Visual determination of presence or absence of pink-to-red Test Line and the appearance of a blue Procedural Control Line on the test strip indicate the presence of influenza A and/or B antigen.
Qualitative	Yes	. Yes
Total Assay Time	Approximately 10 minutes	Less than 15 minutes
Control format	Kit Flu A+/B- dry swab procedural control     Kit Flu B+/A- dry swab procedural control     Internal positive control     Internal negative control	Kit Flu A+ control swab     Kit Flu B+ control swab     Kit Negative control swab     Internal control lines
Detection of Flu A and B viruses	Differentiated influenza A and influenza B	Differentiated influenza A and influenza B

### **SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE DATA:**

## **Analytical Sensitivity**

The limit of detection (LOD) for the **BD Veritor** System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test was established for a total of 7 influenza strains: 4 influenza A and 3 influenza B. The LOD for each strain represents the lowest concentration producing a positivity rate of approximately 95% based on testing 20 to 60 replicates.

Туре	Influenza Viral Strain	Calculated LOD (TCID <sub>50</sub> /mL)	No. Positive / Total	% Positive
Α	A/Brisbane/10/2007 H3N2	$7.27 \times 10^2$	57/60	95%
Α	A/Brisbane/59/2007 H1N1	$3.30 \times 10^2$	57/60	95%
Α	A/California/7/2009 H1N1	$5.00 \times 10^3$	57/60	95%
Α	A/Victoria/3/75 H3N2	3.11 x 10 <sup>3</sup>	59/60	98.3%
В	B/Brisbane/60/2008	$7.42 \times 10^3$	58/60	96.7%
В	B/Florida/4/2006	$1.30 \times 10^3$	58/60	96.7%
В	B/Lee/40	4.44 x 10 <sup>4</sup>	20/20	100%

TCID<sub>50</sub>/mL = 50% Tissue Culture Infectious Dose

# **Analytical Specificity**

A panel of 52 influenza viral strains including 20 Influenza A strains and 32 Influenza B strains were evaluated in triplicate with the BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test. All Influenza A viruses and all Influenza B viruses were correctly detected by the test.

## **Cross Reactivity**

A total of 51 microorganisms (37 bacteria, one yeast and 14 viruses) were tested in triplicate with the BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test. None of the microorganisms tested were shown to be cross reactive with the test.

#### **Interfering Substances**

A variety of substances including whole blood, prescription medications and over-the-counter (OTC) medications, were tested with the BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test in triplicate at concentration levels comparable to or greater than levels that may be resent in patient respiratory samples. None of the substances evaluated were shown to interfere with the performance of the test.

### **CLINICAL STUDIES**

# Reproducibility

The reproducibility of the **BD Veritor** System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test was evaluated at three POC sites. The reproducibility panel was composed of 30 simulated influenza A or B samples. These included moderate positive samples, low positive samples (near the assay limit of detection), high negative samples (i.e., containing very low concentrations of virus such that positive results occur ~5% of the time) and negative samples. The results are summarized below.

Reproducibility Results – Percent of Flu A Positives					
Sample	P1	P4	P5	Total	
High negative	0% (0/30)	10% (3/30)	26.7% (8/30)	12.2% (11/90)	
H1N1 A	(0%, 11.3%)	(3.5%, 25.6%)	(14.2%, 44.4%)	(7%, 20.6%)	
Low positive	86.7% (26/30)	96.7% (29/30)	100% (30/30)	94.4% (85/90)	
H1N1 A	(70.3%, 94.7%)	(83.3%, 99.4%)	(88.6%, 100%)	(87.6%, 97.6%)	
Moderate positive H1N1 A	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (90/90)	
	(88.6%, 100%)	(88.6%, 100%)	(88.6%, 100%)	(95.9%, 100%)	
High negative	0% (0/30)	10% (3/30)	16.7% (5/30)	8.9% (8/90)	
H3N2 A	(0%, 11.3%)	(3.5%, 25.6%)	(7.3%, 33.6%)	(4.6%, 16.6%)	
Low positive	100% (30/30)	93.3% (28/30)	96.7% (29/30)	96.7% (87/90)	
H3N2 A	(88.6%, 100%)	(78.7%, 98.2%)	(83.3%, 99.4%)	(90.7%, 98.9%)	
Moderate positive H3N2 A	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	100% (90/90)	
	(88.6%, 100%)	(88.6%, 100%)	(88.6%, 100%)	(95.9%, 100%)	
Negatives	0% (0/119)	0.8% (1/119)	0% (0/119)	0.3% (1/357)	
	(0%, 3.1%)	(0.1%, 4.6%)	(0%, 3.1%)	(0%, 1.6%)	

Reproducibility Results – Percent of Flu B Positives					
Sample	P1	P4	P5	Total	
High negative	0% (0/30)	3.3% (1/30)	26.7% (8/30)	10% (9/90)	
B	(0%, 11.3%)	(0.6%, 16.7%)	(14.2%, 44.4%)	(5.4%, 17.9%)	
Low positive B	73.3% (22/30)	90% (27/30)	90% (27/30)	84.4% (76/90)	
	(55.6%, 85.8%)	(74.4%, 96.5%)	(74.4%, 96.5%)	(75.6%, 90.5%)	

Reproducibili	Reproducibility Results – Percent of Flu B Positives						
Sample	P1	P4	P5	Total			
Moderate	100% (29/29)	96.6% (28/29)	100% (29/29)	98.9% (86/87)			
positive B	(88.3%, 100%)	(82.8%, 99.4%)	(88.3%, 100%)	(93.8%, 99.8%)			
Negatives	0% (0/210)	1.0% (2/210)	0% (0/210)	0.3% (2/630)			
	(0%, 1.8%)	(0.3%, 3.4%)	(0%, 1.8%)	(0.1%, 1.2%)			

#### Clinical Performance

#### Clinical Performance:

Performance characteristics for the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test were established in multi-center Point-of-Care (POC) studies conducted at five U.S. trial sites and eight Japan trial sites during the 2010-2011 respiratory season. A total of 736 prospective specimens (515 in the U.S and 221 in Japan) were evaluated using the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test and PCR. These specimens consisted of nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs from symptomatic patients. In the U.S. 54% of the samples were from females with 46% from males. 20.3% were from patients less than or equal to 5 years of age. 40.8% of the patients tested were in the 6-21 year age group, 35.6% were from 22-59 years of age and the remaining 3.3% were obtained from people greater than or equal to age 60. In Japan, 43.3% of the samples were from females with 56.7% from males. 27.3% of the samples were from patients less than or equal to 5 years of age. 58.4% were from patients in the 16-21 year age group, 13.1% from 22-59 years of age and 1.3% were obtained from people greater than or equal to age 60.

The performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test at the U.S. sites were compared to an FDA cleared Influenza A and B molecular assay and are presented in Table 1 through Table 3.

Table 1: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Test Compared to PCR for All Swabs - U.S. Sites

1031001	riparca	LO I OI	TOT All Oylabs - C
	Refere PCR	nce	
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total
Р	122	8	130
N	33*	352	385
Total	155	360	515
1			

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 78.7% (95% C.I. 71.6%, 84.4%) NPA: 97.8% (95% C.I. 95.7%, 98.9%)

	Refer	eference PCR		
POC: BD Flu B	P	N	Total	
Р	75	2	77	
N	26**	412	438	
Total	101	414	515	
Peferon	co Moti	and: DCD		

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 74.3% (95% C.I. 65%, 81.8%) NPA: 99.5% (95% C.I. 98.3%, 99.9%)

<sup>\*</sup> Of the 33 PCR positive, BD Veritor negative Influenza A specimens, eight were positive in the BD Veritor assay using a second swab specimen (reference method specimen) collected from the same patient.

\*\* Of the 26 PCR positive, BD Veritor negative Influenza B specimens, six were positive in the BD Veritor assay using a second swab specimen (reference method spcimen) collected from the same patient.

Table 2: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B

Test Compared to PCR for Nasopharyngeal Swabs - U.S. Sites

	Referer PCR	nce		
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total	
Р	53	5	58	
N	18	135	153	
Total	71	140	211	

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 74.6% (95% C.I. 63.4%-83.3%)

NPA: 96.4% (95% C.I. 91.9%-98.5%)

	Refere		
POC: BD Flu B	Р	N	Total
Р	22	1	23
N	8	180	188
Total	30	181	211

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 73.3% (95% C.I. 55.6%-85.8%) NPA: 99.4% (95% C.I. 96.9%-99.9%)

Table 3: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Test Compared to PCR for Nasal Swabs – U.S. Sites

. 000 001	. cot compared to restrict masar emase					
	Referer PCR	nce				
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total			
Р	69	3	72			
N	15	217	232			
Total	84	220	304			

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 82.1% (95% C.I. 72.6%, 88.9%) NPA: 98.6% (95% C.I. 96.1%, 99.5%)

	Refere	ence PCR		
POC: BD Flu B	Р	N	Total	
Р	53	1	54	
N	18	232	250	
Total	71	233	304	
D (				

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 74.6% (95% C.I. 63.4%-83.3%) NPA: 99.6% (95% C.I. 97.6%-99.9%)

The performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B test at the Japan sites were also compared to an FDA cleared Influenza A and B molecular assay and are presented in Table 4 through Table 6.

Table 4: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B

Test Compared to PCR for all Swabs - Japan Sites

Reference PCR			
POC: BD Flu	Р	N	Total
A			
Р	67	5	72
N	4	145	149
Total	71	150	221

<b>BD</b> Diagnostic	Systems
----------------------	---------

I		3
Becton,	Dickinson and	Company

	Refere	ence PCR	
POC: BD Flu B	Р	N	Total
Р	64	8	72
N	6	143	149
Total	70	151	221

	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 94.4% (95% C.I. 86.4%-97.8%) NPA: 96.7% (95% C.I. 92.4%-98.6%)

	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu B	P	N	Total

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 91.4% (95% C.I. 82.5%-96%) NPA: 94.7% (95% C.I. 89.9%-97.3%)

Table 5: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Test Compared to PCR for all Nasopharyngeal Swabs - Japan Sites

100000	ilpuicu (	0 1 011 1	or all reasopile
	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total
Р	30	1	31
N	2	83	85
Total	32	84	116

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 93.8% (95% C.I. 79.9%-98.3%) | NPA: 98.8% (95% C.I. 93.6%-99.8%) |

	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu B	Р	N	Total
Р	38	2	40
N	1	75	76
Total	39	77	116

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 97.4% (95% C.I. 86.8%-99.5%) NPA: 97.4% (95% C.I. 91%-99.3%)

Table 6: Summary of the Performance of the BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Test Compared to PCR for Nasal Swabs – Japan Sites

1001001	nparca t	0 1 01 ( )	oi itabai oirab
	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu A	Р	N	Total
Р	37	4	41
N	2	62	64
Total	39	66	105
( <del>-</del> -			

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 94.9% (95% C.I. 83.1%-98.6%) NPA: 93.9% (95% C.I. 85.4%-97.6%)

	Reference PCR		
POC: BD Flu B	Р	N	Total
Р	26	6	32
N	5	68	73
Total	31	74	105

Reference Method: PCR

PPA: 83.9% (95% C.I. 67.4%-92.9%) NPA: 91.9% (95% C.I. 83.4%-96.2%)



10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

OCT 2 8 2011

Becton, Dickinson and Company c/o Gregory P. Payne, RAC Director, Quality Systems and Regulatory Affairs 10865 Road to the Cure, Suite 200 San Diego, CA 92121

Re: K112277

Trade/Device Name: BD Veritor<sup>™</sup> System for the Rapid Detection of Flu A + B

Regulation Number: 21 CFR§ 866.3330

Regulation Name: Influenza Serological Reagents

Regulatory Class: Class I Product Code: GNX

Dated: September 30, 2011 Received: October 3, 2011

## Dear Mr. Payne:

We have reviewed your Section 510(k) premarket notification of intent to market the device referenced above and have determined the device is substantially equivalent (for the indications for use stated in the enclosure) to legally marketed predicate devices marketed in interstate commerce prior to May 28, 1976, the enactment date of the Medical Device Amendments, or to devices that have been reclassified in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (Act) that do not require approval of a premarket approval application (PMA). You may, therefore, market the device, subject to the general controls provisions of the Act. The general controls provisions of the Act include requirements for annual registration, listing of devices, good manufacturing practice, labeling, and prohibitions against misbranding and adulteration.

If your device is classified (see above) into class II (Special Controls), it may be subject to such additional controls. Existing major regulations affecting your device can be found in Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Parts 800 to 895. In addition, FDA may publish further announcements concerning your device in the <u>Federal Register</u>.

Please be advised that FDA's issuance of a substantial equivalence determination does not mean that FDA has made a determination that your device complies with other requirements of the Act or any Federal statutes and regulations administered by other Federal agencies. You must comply with all the Act's requirements, including, but not limited to: registration and listing (21 CFR Part 807); labeling (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809); medical device reporting (reporting of medical device-related adverse events) (21 CFR 803); and good manufacturing practice requirements as set forth in the quality systems (QS) regulation (21 CFR Part 820). This letter will allow you to begin marketing your device as described in your Section 510(k) premarket

notification. The FDA finding of substantial equivalence of your device to a legally marketed predicate device results in a classification for your device and thus, permits your device to proceed to the market.

If you desire specific advice for your device on our labeling regulation (21 CFR Parts 801 and 809), please contact the Office of *In Vitro* Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety at (301) 796-5450. Also, please note the regulation entitled, "Misbranding by reference to premarket notification" (21 CFR Part 807.97). For questions regarding the reporting of adverse events under the MDR regulation (21 CFR Part 803), please go to <a href="http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm">http://www.fda.gov/MedicalDevices/Safety/ReportaProblem/default.htm</a> for the CDRH's Office of Surveillance and Biometrics/Division of Postmarket Surveillance.

You may obtain other general information on your responsibilities under the Act from the Division of Small Manufacturers, International and Consumer Assistance at its toll-free number (800) 638-2041 or (301) 796-7100 or at its Internet address <a href="http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html">http://www.fda.gov/cdrh/industry/support/index.html</a>.

Sincerely yours

Sally A. Hojvat, M.Sc., Ph.D.

Director

Division of Microbiology Devices

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device

Evaluation and Safety

Center for Devices and Radiological Health

Enclosure

BD Veritor<sup>™</sup> System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B Point of Care

510	k)	Number:	k 112277	

Device Name: BD Veritor™ System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B

#### Indications for Use:

The BD Veritor<sup>TM</sup> System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a rapid chromatographic immunoassay for the direct and qualitative detection of influenza A and B viral nucleoprotein antigens from nasopharyngeal and nasal swabs of symptomatic patients. The BD Veritor System for Rapid Detection of Flu A+B is a differentiated test, such that influenza A viral antigens can be distinguished from influenza B viral antigens from a single processed sample using a single device. The test is to be used as an aid in the diagnosis of influenza A and B viral infections. A negative test is presumptive and it is recommended that these results be confirmed by viral culture or an FDA-cleared influenza A and B molecular assay. Negative test results do not preclude influenza viral infection and should not be used as the sole basis for treatment or other patient management decisions. The test is not intended to detect influenza C antigens.

Performance characteristics for influenza A and B were established during January through March of 2011 when influenza viruses A/2009 H1N1, A/H3N2, B/Victoria lineage, and B/Yamagata lineage were the predominant influenza viruses in circulation according to the *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report* from the CDC entitled "Update: Influenza Activity—United States, 2010-2011 Season, and Composition of the 2011-2012 Influenza Vaccine." Performance characteristics may vary against other emerging influenza viruses.

If infection with a novel influenza virus is suspected based on current clinical and epidemiological screening criteria recommended by public health authorities, specimens should be collected with appropriate infection control precautions for novel virulent influenza viruses and sent to the state or local health department for testing. Virus culture should not be attempted in these cases unless a BSL 3+ facility is available to receive and culture specimens.

AND/OR

Over-the-Counter Use \_\_\_\_(21 CFR 807 Subpart C)

(PLEASE DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE-CONTINUE ON ANOTHER PAGE IF NEEDED)

Concurrence of CDRH, Office of In Vitro Diagnostic Device Evaluation and Safety (OIVD)

Division Sign-Off

Office of In Vitro Diagnostic
Device Evaluation and Safety

BD Diagnostic Systems K112277
Becton, Dickinson and Gompany